

**STATE REVOLVING FUND
INTENDED USE PLAN**

STATE OF OREGON
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2002:
JULY 1, 2001 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

DRAFT

Prepared by:

Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program
Water Quality Division
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
811 S.W. Sixth Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204-1390

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
GOALS	1
LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS	1
SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS	2
PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS.....	2
PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS.....	3
TABLE OF APPLICANT PROJECTS	17
ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST.....	22
PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA.....	25
FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS	26
PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN	27
CAPITALIZATION FUNDS.....	27
INVESTMENT EARNINGS	27
LOAN REPAYMENTS.....	28
ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE	28
LOAN ALLOCATIONS	28
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.....	30
GOVERNOR'S DISCRETIONARY FUND.....	30
OPERATING AGREEMENT.....	31
PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	31
PUBLIC NOTICE	32
MAILING LISTS.....	32

INTRODUCTION

This Intended Use Plan (IUP) is a document prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to describe how Oregon plans to use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) during the state fiscal year 2002 (July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002). The Oregon Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) Loan Program continues to offer below market interest rate loans to public agencies for planning, design and construction of three kinds of water pollution abatement projects:

- 1) wastewater collection, treatment and disposal systems,
- 2) nonpoint source water pollution control projects, and
- 3) the implementation of management plans for federally designated estuaries.

Loans are available at approximately two-thirds of the municipal bond rate with a one-time loan fee of 1.5% and an annual servicing fee of 0.5% during a repayment period of up to twenty years. To assist communities through the planning stages of a project, facility planning loans are available at one-half of the municipal bond rate with a five-year repayment period. Most loans are secured with wastewater service fee revenues although some communities pledge other forms of security.

All eligible projects submitting preliminary applications are listed on the List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order ("Priority List"). However, no funds are committed or reserved for individual projects until final applications are received and approved, indicating the project's readiness to proceed. Projects that are ready to proceed are then funded in priority order. This practice has created a significant increase in the pace of the use of funds during the past few years.

Although allocating funds only to projects that are ready to proceed does result in some projects being funded ahead of higher priority projects, the high level of demand has continued to make the process competitive. All funded projects have been critical to the protection or restoration of water quality in Oregon. We are currently unable to fund all of the projects that are ready to proceed and expect this situation to continue.

GOALS

LONG TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To protect public health and the waters of the state by offering financial assistance for water pollution control projects.
- Goal #2: To provide financial assistance for the cost of complying with federal and state water quality mandates.
- Goal #3: To administer the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to ensure its financial integrity, viability and perpetuity as a source of financial assistance.

SHORT TERM PROGRAM GOALS

- Goal #1: To continue working toward achieving and maintaining the revolving nature of the Fund and to maintain an adequate pace of disbursements compared to receipt of new funds and loan repayments.
- Goal #2: To provide funding to local communities to the maximum extent possible within the constraints of sound financial management.
- Goal #3: To promote and facilitate the implementation of both non-point source and National Estuary Program projects.
- Goal #4: To continue our participation with other state and federal programs in providing financial assistance to Oregon communities.
- Goal #5: To enable tribal governments to participate in the program.

PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS

A letter soliciting preliminary applications for Clean Water State Revolving Fund loans was sent to all cities, service districts and sanitary districts during the Winter of 2001. This letter was also sent to watershed councils, irrigation districts, National Estuary Program staff, and other groups concerned with nonpoint source pollution and estuary management. Public agencies were encouraged to return a completed preliminary application to DEQ for all eligible projects regardless of the project schedule or applicant status.

Preliminary application projects were added to the existing Priority List. Thirteen new preliminary applications from twelve different applicants, totaling \$33,099,183, were received. All but three applicants are communities or districts of 5,000 or less in population. Projects from these Small Communities totaled \$15,628,000. The four facilities planning projects totaled \$270,000.

The Priority List now includes 124 projects from 86 communities or districts for a total of \$238 million in requested funding. Of this amount \$161 million in requests are for projects that are located on waterbodies with special sensitivity or designation – such as streams with Total Maximum Daily Load allocations; waterbodies not supporting beneficial uses; designated wellhead protection areas; estuaries with sensitive habitat; or waters supporting threatened or endangered species – and address a problem of noncompliance with environmental regulations. Another \$21 million are located on these special waterbodies, but are currently in compliance with environmental regulations. (These may have documented health hazards, however.) Of the projects not on special waterbodies, \$44 million are addressing noncompliance with environmental regulations. This leaves only \$12 million or 5% of the \$238 million in requests as low priority projects – those which are not on a special needs waterbody and do not address noncompliance with environmental regulations. 95% of the amount requested is for waterbodies with special needs and/or address existing, documented environmental problems.

Following is a brief description of each project, organized in alphabetical order. New preliminary applications are identified with a project number ending in "-02." Communities with populations of 5,000 or less are indicated as eligible for Small Community reserve funds.

A table listing all projects in alphabetical order follows the project descriptions. This table includes information on the EPA Project Needs Categories, the discharge requirements, and the project schedule. A second table lists the projects in priority order with scores.

FISCAL YEAR 2002 PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS

10200-00 *City of Adair Village (Benton County)* \$ 280,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Inflow and infiltration correction to eliminate sewage bypasses during heavy rains.

Discharges to the Willamette River, which is habitat for endangered fish.

10860-99 *Alsea County Service District (Benton County)* \$150,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Final design and construction of a community-side storm water collection system. See Management Program, Section 4.5.

1179-97 *City of Astoria (Clatsop County)* \$16,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project

Combined sewer overflow control including system optimization, storage separation and treatment.

1270A-02 *City of Baker City (Baker County)* \$ 80,000

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan

Completion of facilities plan for modifying treatment facilities including lagoon sludge digestion or removal, headworks rehabilitation, sewage grinder, new electrical building, and land for reclaimed water irrigation.

1270B-02 *City of Baker City (Baker County)* \$ 2,322,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project

Modification of wastewater treatment facilities including lagoon sludge digestion or removal, headworks rehabilitation, sewage grinder, new electrical building, and land for reclaimed water irrigation.

14002-02 *Bear Creek Valley Sanitary Authority (Jackson County)* \$ 7,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project

Rehabilitation of interceptor and trunk mains, and construction of relief interceptor sewer lines. There is insufficient capacity for projected peak flows.

1620-97 *City of Boardman (Morrow County)* \$2,600,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

The City intends to expand the capacity of their wastewater treatment and disposal system and address age-related deficiencies in existing collection, lagoon and irrigation systems.

19150-00 *Bunker Hill Sanitary District (Coos Bay Area, Coos County)* \$ 385,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Provide sanitary sewers and a sewage lift station for the Sleepy Hollow area to address contamination from failing septic tanks and drainfields. Protective of endangered oyster beds in the tidelands, and the mid and lower bay. See Management Program, Section 3.26.

20330-01 *Camellia Park Sanitary District (Curry County)* \$40,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Electrical upgrade; Electrical and filter work at sewer plant; new 100' by 100' leach field installation to bypass existing failed leach field.

20591-02 *City of Canyonville (Douglas County)* \$ 8,500,000

Sec. 212 design and construction project; Small Community

Treatment system, screening transfer pump station, conveyance, treatment pond, storage and wetlands, disinfection, irrigation, alum addition, filtration, and outfall.

2191A-97 *Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)* \$4,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Design and construct wastewater treatment facility for the Charleston Sanitary District.

2191A-99 *Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)* \$45,000

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Review sewage treatment options for the District; identify most feasible option and prepare a facility plan that will position the District to proceed.

2191B-99 *Charleston Sanitary District (Coos County)* \$130,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Redesign Pump Station number 1, correct structural problems and install two submersible pumps.

2203B-97 *Chemult Sanitary District (Klamath County)* \$2,300,000

Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community

Construct a sewage treatment facility which, if constructed, will eliminate the current drinking water quality problems from contamination of the aquifer by the current substandard septic systems.

23040-99 *City of Coburg (Lane County)* \$8,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of conventional gravity wastewater collection and treatment system (initial system) for the City.

24190-01 *City of Coos Bay (Coos County)* \$36,000

Sec. 212 Design

Engineering drawings and specifications for Pumping Station Number 3, which was last rebuilt in 1975.

24191-01 *City of Coos Bay (Coos County)* \$52,000

Sec. 212 Construction

500 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewerline in Blossom Gulch area, an area of high I & I with disintegrating pipe.

24192-01 *City of Coos Bay (Coos County)* \$170,000

Sec. 212 Construction

Construction of Pump Station Number 7, last rebuilt in 1983.

24193-01 *City of Coos Bay (Coos County)* \$62,000

Sec. 212 Construction

620 linear feet of 10 inch diameter sanitary sewer line on Walnut Avenue between 8th and 10th streets. This line has high I & I, cracked sections, settlement and failed previous lining.

24200-01 *Coos County (Coos County)* \$1,000,000

Sec. 319 and 320 Design and Construction; Small Community

Establishment of a sub-revolving fund through the County which would allow individuals to make needed repairs/replacements of failing on-site systems. See Management Program, Section 3.26 (Coos Bay shellfish areas). See Management Program, Section 3.25 (Tenmile Lakes).

24292-01 *City of Coquille (Coos County)* \$7,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of a new wastewater treatment facility to meet waste load allocations and total daily mass load limits for the Coquille River.

24293-01 *City of Coquille (Coos County)* \$100,000

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Revisions to the facilities plan completed in 1993.

24685-01 *Cove Orchard Sewer Service District (Yamhill County)* \$35,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Add additional manholes to assist with I and I control.

24680-99 *City of Cove (Union County)* \$200,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Purchase additional land for irrigation and install pipes and pumps for sprinkling.

25130-00 *Crescent Sanitary District (Klamath County)* \$2,500,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Design and construct gravity collection system, treatment and storage lagoons, and effluent irrigation facilities; to address failing septic systems and high nitrates in the groundwater.

25140-99 *City of Creswell (Lane County)* **\$6,000,000**

Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community

Planning, design and construction of a wastewater treatment facility, outfall and I & I improvements.

29200-02 *City of Drain (Douglas County)* **\$ 90,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan: Small Community

Updated wastewater systems master plan – the previous plan (from 1995/1996) was rejected by DEQ.

29520-97 *City of Dufur (Wasco County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Land applied effluent disposal system, including piping, pumps, storage pond and effluent disposal irrigation system.

35540-00 *Gardiner Sanitary District (Douglas County)* **\$21,975**

Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community

Wastewater collection system cleaning and television inspection for inflow and infiltration identification. Effluent goes to the Umpqua River through Reedsport's treatment plant.

35611-00 *City of Garibaldi (Tillamook County)* **\$3,750,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Replacement of aging system with new treatment plant, new influent pumping facilities and an extension of the outfall line to protect Tillamook Bay, a National Estuary. See Management Program, Section 3.26

3745A-00 *City of Glendale (Douglas County)* **\$60,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community

Develop a wastewater facilities plan to implement a Mutual Agreement and Order with DEQ to eliminate sewage bypasses and protect Cow Creek, a water quality limited stream.

3745B-00 *City of Glendale (Douglas County)* **\$1,100,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Rehabilitate the current wastewater facilities to eliminate sewage bypasses and implement a Mutual Agreement and Order with DEQ.

38351-01 *Government Camp Sanitary District (Clackamas County)* **\$25,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Rate study to set new District fees and charges to ensure coverage of O,M, R and debt service. This is a continuation of work on the existing Facilities Plan for the new treatment system design and construction work currently financed with CWSRF funds.

40351-01 *City of Haines (Baker County)* **\$75,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Evaluate sewage collection, treatment and disposal alternatives to prevent groundwater contamination and surface water runoff from the reclaimed water irrigation site.

40352-01 *City of Haines (Baker County)* **\$750,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Construction of appropriate alternative as determined by facilities plan to prevent groundwater contamination and surface water runoff from the reclaimed water irrigation site.

41901-99 *City of Harrisburg (Linn County)* **\$4,400,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

I & I correction work and modifications to raw sewage pump station and lagoon treatment facility.

43100-02 *Hebo Joint Water & Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)* **\$718,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction project; Small Community

Hebo wastewater treatment plant rehabilitation project; substantial improvements to the treatment plant are needed in order to remain within the NPDES permit limitations.

4463B-99 *City of Hines (Harney County)* **\$2,513,050**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Improvements to sewage treatment facility, to upgrade present flood irrigation system.

47570-99 *City of Imbler (Union County)* **\$50,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for City wastewater treatment facility; there is no existing centralized treatment system.

4774B-99 *City of Irrigon (Morrow County)* **\$2,403,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

A new effluent disposal method is needed to achieve compliance with DEQ regulations; existing wastewater discharge is to the groundwater through rapid infiltration basins. Monitoring shows that denitrification performance is poor at times.

47840-02 *Island City Area Sanitation District (Union County)* **\$1,070,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction project; Small Community

ICASD's share of the La Grande wastewater system improvements project; headworks and lagoon improvements with wetland polishing and disposal.

5260A-99 *Klamath Tribes (Klamath County)* **\$50,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for a wastewater treatment facility. Currently, sewage is shipped by truck to a facility located about an hour away.

5260B-99	<i>Klamath Tribes (Klamath County)</i>	<i>\$1,260,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community	
	Design and construction of a sewage treatment facility, to avoid the need to store and ship sewage to another location for treatment.	
5620A-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	<i>\$1,384,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project	
	Install a fourth clarifier at the wastewater treatment plant to reduce suspended solids and provide adequate capacity during wet weather storm events.	
5620B-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	<i>\$311,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project	
	Downtown Sewer Separation (Phase II): disconnect storm inlets and roof drains from the sanitary sewer. Replace the sanitary main and construct a new storm main. This project is to eliminate secondary treatment bypasses during storms.	
5620C-00	<i>City of Lebanon (Linn County)</i>	<i>\$216,320</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project	
	Construct outfall diffuser to create improved mixing at the South Santiam River discharge point and to meet new NPDES permit requirements for chlorine and temperature.	
5751A-01	<i>City of Lincoln City (Lincoln County)</i>	<i>\$170,500</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan	
	Facilities plan for collection system and wastewater treatment plant for 20 year projected growth and sewerage of existing non-sewered areas. See Management Program, Section 3.26 (Siletz Bay). See Management Program, Section 3.25 (Devils Lake).	
5751B-01	<i>City of Lincoln City (Lincoln County)</i>	<i>\$19,129,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction	
	Interim plant improvements (WAS thickening, disinfection control improvements, septage/vactor receiving station); pump station improvements to minimize potential for SSO's; improvements for I&I reduction and sewerage of areas within UGB currently on septic tanks; design and construction of a wastewater treatment plant.	
59220-99	<i>City of Lowell (Lane County)</i>	<i>\$85,000</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community	
	Complete facilities plan for collection and treatment system.	
6299A-99	<i>Marion County Public Works (Marion County)</i>	<i>\$50,000</i>
Sec. 212 & 319	Facilities Plan	

Facilities plan for development of a water quality enhancement system consisting of grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.5.

6299B-99 *Marion County Public Works (Marion County)* **\$2,100,000**

Sec. 212 & 319 Design and Construction

Design and construction of water quality enhancement system, including grassy swales, poplar trees and constructed wetlands. See Management Program, Section 4.5.

63960-01 *City of Maywood Park (Multnomah County)* **\$30,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan of feasibility of construction of sewers within the City.

6169A-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$2,200,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

One mile long, 54-inch pipeline, in Yamhill Trunkline, that will eliminate some bypasses through increasing hydraulic capacity and replacing old pipe.

6169B-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$1,200,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Water Reclamation Facility Improvements – mechanical bar screen and diversion structure modification plus additional U.V. disinfection capacity.

6169C-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

LaFayette Avenue Sewer Repairs – Replacement and/or rehabilitation of various sewers in both the public right-of-way and private property to reduce I & I.

6169D-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

48-Inch Brick Sewer – Rehabilitation or possible replacement of old brick sewer trunkline.

6169E-99 *City of McMinnville (Yamhill County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Evans Street Sewer Repairs – Various replacement and/or rehabilitation of existing sewers in both public right-of-way and private property to reduce I & I.

6412A-97 *City of Medford (Jackson County)* **\$400,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan

Facilities plan for a water reuse project, titled the Water Reclamation Project.

6412B-97 *City of Medford (Jackson County)* **\$21,600,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Water Reclamation Project – a water reuse project, intended to reduce discharge of waste water during summer months when water flows are at their lowest and water temperature is at its highest. This project would build storage lagoons, pump stations, secondary clarifiers, grit tanks, two new primary clarifiers, and improve and expand other existing facilities such as the chlorine contact basin.

64631-00 *City of Merrill (Klamath County)* **\$3,130,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Construct a new facultative lagoon system, pump stations, force main, reclaimed water irrigation system and other improvements to eliminate discharge to the Lost River, which is water quality limited.

6484A-97 *City of Metolius (Jefferson County)* **\$50,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for a wastewater enhancement project to increase capacity.

6484B-97 *City of Metolius (Jefferson County)* **\$1,450,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of a wastewater enhancement project, to increase capacity. System is currently operating at 400,000 gallons over available capacity.

**64840-99 *Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission
(Lane County)* **\$3,000,000****

Sec. 212 Construction

Acquisition of property for a dedicated biosolids land application site.

6523B-00 *Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens (Astoria Area, Clatsop County)* **\$3,068,191**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Develop a community wastewater collection, treatment and disposal system to address failing septic tanks and contamination of Young's River, which supports endangered fish.

6558-97 *City of Milton-Freewater (Umatilla County)* **\$2,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Renovation of mechanical treatment plant to bring into compliance with WPCF permit requirements and increase capacity of plant and land application processes.

65580-99 *City of Milwaukie (Clackamas County)* **\$7,600,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Extension of sanitary sewer collection facilities including force main and lift stations in the unsewered areas east of City of Milwaukie.

66790-00 *City of Moro (Sherman County)* **\$20,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Planning Project; Small Community

Evaluate condition and propose a plan to upgrade the city's non-discharging wastewater lagoon.

- 6798-91 *City of Myrtle Point (Coos County)* **\$5,143,895****
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
Design and construction of Myrtle Point wastewater treatment system.
- 67981-02 *City of Myrtle Point (Coos County)* **\$1,500,000****
Sec. 319 Non-Point Source project; Small Community
Regional manure fired biogas facility for dairy waste. This will process dairy cattle manure into methane, solides and liquid urea fertilizer, all of which can be marketed. This facility would help keep waste from approximately 2500 – 3500 dairy animals from discharging to the Coquille River.
- 68651-01 *Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)* **\$88,200****
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
Connect 18 septic tank systems to main line at Hawk Creek Hills, per mandatory 200-foot hookup ordinance. This project does not qualify for CWSRF funding under current Oregon rules, however the DEQ is exploring program changes that would allow financial assistance to such projects in the future.
- 68652-01 *Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)* **\$294,750****
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
Main line extension on Los Angeles Street (approximately one mile).
- 68653-02 *Neskowin Regional Sanitary Authority (Tillamook County)* **\$ 50,000****
Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community
Engineering analysis of plant deficiencies and sewer line extensions.
- 69640-01 *City of North Plains (Washington County)* **\$20,000****
Sec. 319 Non-Point Source; Small Community
Well abandonment to protect drinking water well; well is located in wellhead protection area of another well that is used as a drinking water source. The project purpose is to prevent contamination of the abandoned well and surrounding groundwater aquifer from a hazardous waste site nearby. Contamination of the abandoned well could jeopardize the quality of the existing drinking water well. See Management Program, Section 3.22.
- 6963B-97 *City of North Powder (Baker County)* **\$1,000,000****
Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
Design and construct improvements to wastewater treatment system – there have been numerous permit violations as well as in-stream violations.
- 6998-97 *City of Nyssa (Malheur County)* **\$1,060,000****
Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
Improvements to wastewater treatment facilities. There have been numerous violations of the NPDES permit. Improvements may include modification of the existing aeration basin, improvement of the headworks and lift stations, construction of a new secondary clarifier and construction of a new chlorine contact basin, or construction of new facilities.

7003A-97	<i>City of Oakridge (Lane County)</i>	<i>\$70,000</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Comprehensive drainage basin study and storm drain recommendation. See Management Program, Section 4.5.	
7003B-97	<i>City of Oakridge (Lane County)</i>	<i>\$120,000</i>
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community Insitu PVC liner for deteriorating sewer lines, to relieve seasonal over taxing of sewer treatment plant.	
7061B-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	<i>\$100,000</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan Sanitary sewer collection system master plan	
7061C-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	<i>\$175,000</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan Storm water master plan. See Management Program, Section 4.5.	
7061D-97	<i>City of Ontario (Malheur County)</i>	<i>\$200,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design Design of inflow and infiltration elimination system, to alleviate flows up to 500,000 gallons per day during storm events.	
73270-99	<i>City of Philomath (Benton County)</i>	<i>\$380,000</i>
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community Correction of infiltration and inflow. Lagoons are approaching capacity during summer storage. I & I work is necessary to extend the hydrologic life of the lagoons.	
7395A-99	<i>Polk County Community Development (Polk County)</i>	<i>\$25,000</i>
Sec. 212	Facilities Plan; Small Community Facilities plan for treatment facility for rural unincorporated community	
7395B-99	<i>Polk County Community Development (Polk County)</i>	<i>\$225,000</i>
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Design and construction of sewer treatment facility for small, rural unincorporated community, to alleviate problem of failing septic systems.	
74350-01	<i>City of Powers (Coos County)</i>	<i>\$580,000</i>
Sec. 212	Construction; Small Community Sewer line repairs, for I & I correction.	
74681-02	<i>City of Prineville (Crook County)</i>	<i>\$7,569,183</i>
Sec. 212	Design and construction	

Phase 1, city wastewater treatment facilities expansion project to include construction of aerated facultative lagoon treatment plant, storage ponds and irrigation system. Increased treatment capacity will enable City to sewer an area with failing on-site sewage systems and documented groundwater contamination.

76210-02 *City of Reedsport (Douglas County)* \$ 3,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and construction; Small Community

Upgrade of treatment system; inflow and infiltration correction.

77740-00 *City of Rivergrove (Multnomah County)* \$1,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Construct sewage collection system to address failing septic systems and to protect the groundwater in a designated wellhead protection area (pending). See Management Program, Section 3.22.

78861-99 *Roseburg Urban Sanitary Authority (Douglas County)* \$170,000

Sec. 212 Construction

Purchase 155 acres of land for effluent irrigation of poplar trees

80491-02 *City of Sandy (Clackamas County)* \$650,000

Sec. 212 Design and construction

Construct rotary press biosolids dewatering and handling facilities. Purchase equipment for hauling and disposal.

8093A-99 *City of Scappoose (Columbia County)* \$2,630,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Hydraulic capacity upgrade, including North interceptor, influent pump station, effluent pressure main, and Smith Road pump station.

8093B-99 *City of Scappoose (Columbia County)* \$340,000

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Purchase land for land application of treated sludge.

82920-00 *City of Seneca (Grant County)* \$112,500

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Reduce inflow and infiltration problems in a non-discharging lagoon system.

86772-01 *City of Springfield (Lane County)* \$3,750,000

Sec. 212 Construction

Sewer replacement and rehabilitation and I & I correction in several areas of the system, to be completed over the next 5 years.

80160-99 *City of St. Helens (Columbia County)* \$2,000,000

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Design and construct storm sewer lines to address unmet needs of City's storm sewer system. Heavy rains cause sewage bypass to the river.

8716B-97	<i>City of Stanfield (Umatilla County)</i>	\$2,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction; Small Community Wastewater system improvements, including treatment plant and water reuse facility improvements. The treatment plant has been exceeding its NPDES permit limitation for effluent BOD.	
89550-99	<i>City of Sutherlin (Douglas County)</i>	\$400,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Install fine bubble diffusers and new air piping and blowers. Replace mechanical mixers and coarse bubble diffusers.	
89750-00	<i>City of Sweet Home (Linn County)</i>	\$2,000,000
Sec. 212	Design and Construction Project Identify and remove inflow to the wastewater system to comply with Mutual Agreement and Order with the DEQ and to eliminate sewage bypasses to the South Santiam River, which supports endangered salmon species.	
9080B-97	<i>City of The Dalles (Wasco County)</i>	\$2,300,000
Sec. 212	Planning, Design and Construction The existing wastewater treatment plant is reaching treatment capacity necessitating system expansion.	
9156C-99	<i>Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)</i>	\$25,000
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320	Construction Purchase inventory of silt control fence and related erosion control and silt control products. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat.	
9156D-99	<i>Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)</i>	\$400,000
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320	Construction Create a loan fund available for nine drainage districts in Tillamook County which will allow districts to make needed repairs and improvements. Projects could include drainage ditch cleaning, tide gate repair and replacement, or levee and dike maintenance. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project also ties into objectives of the Tillamook Bay estuary program to improve fish habitat. It will result in higher levels of dissolved oxygen and lower temperatures, both of which benefit threatened or endangered species of fish. Improvement of fish habitat is an objective of the Tillamook Bay estuary program.	
9156E-99	<i>Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)</i>	\$421,587
Sec. 319 and Sec. 320	Design and Construction	

Install fish friendly tide gates in various sloughs along the Trask, Tillamook, Wilson and Kilchis rivers. Sloughs are experiencing stagnation and pollution with Coliform bacteria including E. coli. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2. This project is one of two from Tillamook County that will enhance habitat rather than keeping pollution laden flows out of sensitive estuaries. Improved fishery habitat for Coho and Steelhead is a major goal of the Tillamook Estuary program.

9156F-99 *Tillamook County SWCD (Tillamook County)* **\$1,500,000**

Sec. 319 and Sec. 320 Construction

Create a loan fund for agricultural producers in 3-county basin which will allow producers to expedite implementation of NRCS-developed Resource Management Plans on their operations. Examples could include manure storage facilities, related roofing, guttering and downspouting for excluding rainwater from waste management systems. See Management Program, Sections 3.26 & 4.2.. This project will implement National Resource and conservation Service developed resource management Plans for dairy farms. Implementation of best management practices for dairy farmers will reduce sediment from bank erosion and reduce overland flows from nutrient and bacteria rich cow manure into Tillamook Bay which is water quality limited for bacteria.

91563-01 *City of Tillamook (Tillamook County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Extend 5,150 feet of sewer east on Third Street and North on Marolf Loop, to provide an area of failing septic systems with public sewer service.

9237-97 *City of Troutdale (Multnomah County)* **\$16,000,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction

Design and construct a new, 3 MGD sewage treatment plant to address the currently inadequate treatment capacity.

93222-02 *City of Vale (Malheur County)* **\$ 50,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

MAO requires preparation of a wastewater facilities plan update, and development of design/construction funding package for Phase 2 lagoon construction project.

9434A-99 *City of Waldport (Lincoln County)* **\$130,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construction of approximately 850 linear feet of gravity sewer to help alleviate problem of sewage overflow.

9434B-99 *City of Waldport (Lincoln County)* **\$200,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Inflow and infiltration reduction, per 1992 facilities plan prepared by Gary L. Dyer, P.E., Engineer

94343-01 *City of Waldport (Lincoln County)* **\$250,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Correct I & I in the southeast basin sewer gravity collection system that leads to the new southeast pump station.

9458-97 *City of Wallowa (Wallowa County)* **\$300,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Wastewater system improvements to improve inadequately treated wastewater being discharged to the Wallowa River.

9470B-97 *Wamic Water and Sewer Authority (Wasco County)* **\$250,000**

Sec. 212 Planning, Design and Construction; Small Community

Design and construct a community sewer treatment facility, to replace failing septic systems.

9482-97 *Warm Springs Tribes (Jefferson County)* **\$1,500,000**

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Construction of wastewater treatment facility improvements. Effluent discharge exceeds EPA and Tribal limits.

94961-00 *City of Wasco (Sherman County)* **\$1,500,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Design and construct improvements to the seepage lagoon system in order to address permit noncompliance issues.

9551B-99 *Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)* **\$50,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community

Replace inadequate flowmeters to improve plant capacity and alleviate coastal erosion.

95510-00 *Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)* **\$810,000**

Sec. 212 Design and Construction Project; Small Community

Reroute storm drain; construct anti-erosion seawall and other wastewater system improvements. See Management Program, Sections 3.26, 4.2, and 4.5.

95511-01 *Wedderburn Sanitary District (Curry County)* **\$75,000**

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Repairs to correct I & I in approximately 3 miles of sewer lines; modernization of chlorination facility.

96220-00 *City of Westfir (Lane County)* **\$200,000**

Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community

Replacement of sewerage facilities, addressing compliance issues. Construction of new gravity collection system and package treatment plant in Hemlock area.

9623A-97 *City of Weston (Umatilla County)* **\$20,000**

Sec. 212 Facilities Plan; Small Community

Facilities plan for wastewater system improvements

- 9623B-97** *City of Weston (Umatilla County)* **\$500,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Work on digester, headworks, trickling filter, primary clarifier and collection system which serves the entire city of Weston plus domestic sewage for two industrial plants.
- 98520-99** *City of Wood Village (Multnomah County)* **\$1,500,000**
 Sec. 212 Design and Construction; Small Community
 Wood Village Sandy Blvd. sewer interceptor line, from N.E. 223rd Avenue to the Gresham treatment plant (approximately 3.0 MGD and a length of 6800 L.F.).
- 99340-99** *City of Yoncalla (Douglas County)* **\$358,250**
 Sec. 212 Construction; Small Community
 Correction of wastewater collection system to reduce inflow and infiltration, which will include line rerouting/replacement/relining. There have been permit violations.

TABLE OF PROJECT APPLICATIONS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

The following table consists of all projects on the CWSRF project priority list. This includes the new projects described above plus the projects carried over from the previous project priority list. Projects identified on the FY 2001 Project Priority List which have been funded or have asked to be removed, have been deleted. The Project Priority List is in alphabetical order by applicant, and includes the following additional information requested by EPA: (1) amount requested, (2) EPA needs category, (3) discharge limits for those with existing permits, (4) the preliminary project schedule, and (5) the Project Priority Ranking.

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
80	City of Adair Village 10200-00	\$280,000	IIIA	No Discharge	30/45	9/2001	9/2001	7/2005
62	Alsea County Service Dist. 10860-99	\$150,000	IIIA,VI	No Discharge	No Discharge	6/2002	6/2002	10/2002
24	City of Astoria 1179-97	\$16,000,000	V	30/50	30/50	7/2001	7/2002	12/2003
22	City of Baker City 1270A-02	\$80,000	I,II,IVB, 319	45/85	45/85	4/2002	4/2002	12/2004
54	City of Baker City 1270B-02	\$2,322,000	I,II,IVB, 319	45/85	45/85	4/2002	4/2002	12/2004
64	Bear Creek Valley S.A. 14002-02	\$7,500,000	IIIB,IVB	10/10	30/30	10/2001	07/2003	11/2004
77	City of Boardman 1620-97	\$2,600,000	I,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	10/2001	12/2001	12/2002
121	Bunker Hill Sanitary District 19150-00	\$385,000	IVA,IVB	10/10	30/30	4/2002	5/2002	12/2002
85	Camellia Park San. District 20330-01	\$40,000	II	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/2002	3/2002	8/2002

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
7	City of Canyonville 20591-02	\$8,500,000	I	10/10	30/30	01/2004	06/2004	06/2005
111	Charleston Sanitary District 2191A-99	\$45,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	11/2001	06/2002	9/2002
111	Charleston Sanitary District 2191B-99	\$130,000	IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	11/2001	11/2001	12/2002
100	Charleston Sanitary District 2191A-97	\$4,000,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/2001	12/2001	12/2003
82	Chemult Sanitary District 2203B-97	\$2,300,000	I,II,IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	9/2001	12/2003
56	City of Coburg 23040-99	\$8,000,000	I,IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/2002	1/2002	12/2003
102	City of Coos Bay 24190-01	\$36,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	1/2002	7/2002	10/2002
103	City of Coos Bay 24191-01	\$52,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	1/2002	7/2002	10/2002
101	City of Coos Bay 24192-01	\$170,000	IVB	30/30	20/20	1/2002	7/2002	10/2002
103	City of Coos Bay 24193-01	\$62,000	IVA	30/30	20/20	1/2002	7/2002	10/2002
30	Coos County 24200-01	\$1,000,000	319,320	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	9/2001	9/2005
6	City of Coquille 24292-01	\$7,500,000	I	20/30	30/45	7/2002	7/2002	1/2005
10	City of Coquille 24293-01	\$100,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2002	7/2002	7/2003
117	City of Cove 24680-99	\$200,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2002	7/2002	12/2002
83	Cove Orchard Sew. Ser. Dist 24685-01	\$35,000	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	5/2002	5/2002	10/2002
16	Crescent Sanitary District 25130-00	\$2,500,000	I,IVA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/2001	6/2002	11/2003
71	City of Creswell 2514A-99	\$100,000	I,IIIA	No Discharge	30/50	10/2001	3/2002	3/2003
71	City of Creswell 2514B-99	\$5,900,000	I,IIIA	No Discharge	30/50	10/2001	3/2002	3/2003
37	City of Drain 29200-02	\$90,000	I,22,III,IV,V,V I,319,320	No Discharge	No Discharge	5/2002	6/2002	6/2003
40	City of Dufur 2952-97	\$300,000	I,IVB	30/85	30/85	5/2002	6/2002	10/2002
115	Gardiner Sanitary District 35540-00	\$21,975	IIIA	10/10	30/30	7/2001	12/2001	7/2002
13	City of Garibaldi 35611-00	\$3,750,000	I,IIIB	20/20	30/30	3/2002	3/2002	3/2003
38	City of Glendale 3745A-00	\$60,000	I,IIIA	10/10	30/30	12/2001	3/2002	9/2002
38	City of Glendale 3745B-00	\$1,100,000	I	10/10	30/30	12/2001	3/2002	9/2002
35	Government Camp S.D. 38351-01	\$25,000	I,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	12/2001
18	City of Haines 40351-01	\$75,000	IIIA,IVB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	5/2002	1/2003	5/2004
18	City of Haines 40352-01	\$750,000	IIIA,IVB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/2002	8/2003	12/2004

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
76	City of Harrisburg 41901-99	\$4,400,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	30/30	12/2001	1/2002	12/2003
42	Hebo Joint Water/Sewer 43100-02	\$718,000	I	10/10	10/10	7/2001	7/2001	10/2001
36	City of Hines 4463B-99	\$2,513,050	I,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	11/2001	11/2002
119	City of Imbler 47570-99	\$50,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	9/2001	4/2002
32	City of Irrigon 4774B-99	\$2,403,000	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/2002	3/2002	8/2002
8	Island City Area Sani. Dist. 47840-02	\$1,070,000	IIIB,IVB	20/20	45/45	3/2002	8/2002	12/2003
113	Klamath Tribes 5260A-99	\$50,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	8/2001	9/2001	2/2002
113	Klamath Tribes 5260B-99	\$1,260,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	11/2001	12/2002
67	City of Lebanon 5620A-00	\$1,384,000	I,IIIA	10/10	25/30	7/2001	9/2001	10/2002
67	City of Lebanon 5620B-00	\$311,000	IIIA,IVA, VI	10/10	25/30	7/2001	7/2001	10/2001
67	City of Lebanon 5620C-00	\$216,320	II	10/10	25/30	7/2001	7/2001	9/2002
87	City of Lincoln City 5751A-01	\$170,500	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	12/2001	12/2001	12/2003
87	City of Lincoln City 5751B-01	\$19,129,000	I,IIIA,IVA, IVB	10/10	20/20	7/2001	7/2001	12/2006
93	City of Lowell 59220-99	\$85,000	I,IIIA	10/10	30/30	3/2002	4/2002	12/2002
64	Marion Co. Public Works 6299A-99	\$50,000	Nonpoint Source	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	10/2004
64	Marion Co. Public Works 6299B-99	\$2,100,000	Nonpoint Source	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	10/2004
15	City of Maywood Park 63960-01	\$30,000	IVA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	9/2001
1	City of McMinnville 6169A-99	\$2,200,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	12/2001	12/2001	10/2002
1	City of McMinnville 6169B-99	\$1,200,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	12/2001	12/2001	10/2002
1	City of McMinnville 6169C-99	\$300,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	11/2001	11/2001	2/2002
1	City of McMinnville 6169D-99	\$500,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	11/2001	11/2001	7/2002
1	City of McMinnville 6169E-99	\$300,000	IIIA,IIIB, IVA,V	5/5	10/10	7/2001	7/2001	12/2001
45	City of Medford 6412A-97	\$400,000	II	10/10	30/30	9/2001	6/2002	12/2004
45	City of Medford 6412B-97	\$21,600,000	II	10/10	30/30	9/2001	6/2002	12/2004
14	City of Merrill 64631-00	\$3,130,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	9/2001	4/2003
95	City of Metolius 6484A-97	\$50,000	IIIB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	6/2002	7/2002	12/2002
95	City of Metolius 6484B-97	\$1,450,000	IIIB,V	No Discharge	No Discharge	1/2002	3/2002	12/2002

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
86	Metro Wastewater Mgmt Com. 64840-99	\$3,000,000	II	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	6/2002
57	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens 6523B-00	\$3,068,191	I,IVA,IVB	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	1/2002	1/2002	1/2004
89	City of Milton-Freewater 6558-97	\$2,000,000	I,IIIA, IVA,IVB,	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	11/2001	8/2002
26	City of Milwaukie 65580-99	\$7,600,000	IVA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	4/2002	7/2002	7/2006
118	City of Moro 66790-00	\$20,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	7/2001	7/2002
12	City of Myrtle Point 6798-91	\$5,143,895	I,II,IIIA, IIIB,IVB	20/20	30/30	2/2002	2/2002	2/2005
29	City of Myrtle Point 67981-02	\$1,500,000	319	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	8/2001	6/2002
98	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68651-01	\$88,200	IVA, IVB	No Discharge	10/10	9/2001	9/2001	9/2001
97	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68652-01	\$294,750	IVA, IVB	No Discharge	10/10	7/2001	7/2001	9/2001
81	Neskowin Reg. S.A. 68653-02	\$50,000	I,IIIA,IVB	No Discharge	No Discharge	3/2002	3/2002	6/2002
78	City of North Plains 69640-01	\$20,000	319	No Discharge	No Discharge	9/2001	10/2001	10/2001
58	City of North Powder 6963B-97	\$1,000,000	I,IIIA	30/85	30/85	4/2002	6/2002	11/2003
11	City of Nyssa 6998-97	\$1,060,000	I,IIIB	25/45	35/45	2/2002	4/2002	10/2003
91	City of Oakridge 7003A-97	\$70,000	VI	20/20	30/30	7/2001	7/2001	4/2002
91	City of Oakridge 7003B-97	\$120,000	IIIA	20/20	30/30	7/2001	7/2001	7/2002
51	City of Ontario 7061B-97	\$100,000	IVA	30/85	30/85	7/2001	7/2001	7/2002
110	City of Ontario 7061C-97	\$175,000	VI	30/85	30/85	7/2001	7/2001	7/2002
51	City of Ontario 7061D-97	\$200,000	IIIA	30/85	30/85	7/2001	7/2001	7/2002
74	City of Philomath 73270-99	\$380,000	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	7/2001	3/2002	6/2002
108	Polk County Comm. Dev. 7395A-99	\$25,000	I,IVA, IVB	10/10	30/30	1/2002	3/2002	12/2003
108	Polk County Comm. Dev. 7395B-99	\$225,000	I,IVA, IVB	10/10	30/30	1/2002	3/2002	12/2003
17	City of Powers 74350-01	\$580,000	IIIA	30/45	30/45	12/2001	12/2001	12/2002
23	City of Prineville 74681-02	\$7,569,183	I,IVB, VI	No Discharge	25/40	3/2002	7/2002	6/2003
27	City of Reedsport 76210-02	\$3,000,000	I,IIIA,IIIB	10/10	20/20	12/2001	12/2002	12/2003

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
60	City of Rivergrove 77740-00	\$1,000,000	IVA,IVB	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Scheduled	Not Scheduled	Not Scheduled
9	Roseburg Urban San. Auth. 78861-99	\$170,000	I	No Discharge	No Discharge	6/01	6/01	9/01
90	City of Sandy 80491-02	\$650,000	I	20/20	30/30	10/2001	12/2001	10/2002
73	City of Scappoose 8093A-99	\$2,630,000	IVB	20/20	30/30	12/2001	7/2002	12/2002
28	City of Scappoose 8093B-99	\$340,000	I	20/20	30/30	12/2003	1/2004	1/2005
120	City of Seneca 82920-00	\$112,500	IIIA	No Discharge	No Discharge	10/2001	5/2002	6/2002
24	City of St. Helens 80160-99	\$2,000,000	IIIA, VI	BOD-lb/day: TSS-lb/day:	12,800 26,862	10/2001	10/2001	4/2003
70	City of Springfield 86772-01	\$3,750,000	IIIA, IIIB	10/10	25/30	7/2001	6/2002	10/2002
44	City of Stanfield 8716B-97	\$2,000,000	I,IVB	No Discharge	30/45	11/2001	12/2001	12/2002
99	City of Sutherlin 89550-99	\$400,000	I	10/10	30/30	10/2001	10/2001	12/2001
43	City of Sweet Home 89750-00	\$2,000,000	IIIA	10/10	15/20	5/2002	5/2002	9/2003
21	City of The Dalles 9080B-97	\$2,300,000	I	20/20	30/30	9/2001	5/2002	5/2003
32	City of Tillamook 91563-01	\$300,000	IVA	20/20	30/30	7/2001	5/2002	10/2002
47	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156C-99	\$25,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/2002	1/2002	1/2004
47	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156D-99	\$400,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/2002	1/2002	1/2004
47	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156E-99	\$421,587	Estuary	NoDisch	NoDisch	3/2002	9/2002	9/2003
47	Tillamook Co. SWCD 9156F-99	\$1,500,000	Nonpoint Source	NoDisch	NoDisch	1/2002	1/2002	1/2004
53	City of Troutdale 9237-95	\$16,000,000	I,IIIB	20/20	30/30	3/2002	4/2002	11/2003
79	City of Vale 93222-02	\$50,000	I	NoDisch	NoDisch	6/2002	6/2002	9/2002
33	City of Waldport 9434A-99	\$130,000	IIIB	10/10	10/10	6/2002	7/2002	4/2003
33	City of Waldport 9434B-99	\$200,000	IIIA	10/10	10/10	6/2002	7/2002	12/2003
20	City of Waldport 94343-01	\$250,000	IIIA	15/25	40/45	7/2001	7/2001	12/2001
55	City of Wallowa 9458-97	\$300,000	IIIB	30/85	30/85	7/2001	1/2002	1/2003
84	Wamic Water & Sewer Auth. 9470B-97	\$250,000	IVA	NoDisch	NoDisch	9/2001	10/2001	12/2002
31	Warm Springs Tribes 9782-97	\$1,500,000	I	10/10	10/10	4/2002	4/2002	4/2003
59	City of Wasco 94961-00	\$1,500,000	IIIA,IIIB,V	NoDisch.	NoDisch.	10/2001	10/2001	12/2005
105	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 9551B-99	\$50,000	IIIA,V, VI	NoDisch	NoDisch	10/2001	10/2001	10/2002
116	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist. 95510-00	\$810,000	IIIA,V,VI, 319	NoDisch	NoDisch	3/2002	5/2002	5/2003

Priority Ranking	Applicant Project No.	Request	EPA Needs Category	Discharge Limits (BOD/TSS 30 Day)		Project Schedule		
				Summer	Winter	Sign Agmt.	Start	Complete
94	Wedderburn Sanitary Dist 95511-01	\$75,000	IIIA	NoDisch	NoDisch	7/2001	4/2002	12/2002
63	City of Westfir 96220-00	\$200,000	IIIB,VI	10/10	20/20	7/2001	7/2001	6/2002
106	City of Weston 9623A-97	\$20,000	I,II,IIIA	30/30	30/30	4/2002	6/2002	12/2002
106	City of Weston 9623B-97	\$500,000	I,II,IIIA	30/30	30/30	10/2001	12/2001	12/2002
75	City of Wood Village 98520-99	\$1,500,000	IVB	NoDisch	NoDisch	7/2001	2/2002	7/2002
61	City of Yoncalla 99340-99	\$358,250	IIIA	NoDisch	30/50	3/2002	3/2002	8/2003

ELIGIBLE PROJECTS IN PRIORITY ORDER: PRIORITY LIST

New projects are added to the projects carried forward on the Priority List from prior years to make up the FY 2002 List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order. When a final application is approved, the project funded, and a loan agreement signed, the project is removed from this list. Other projects are deleted when requested by the applicant or funded by other sources.

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
1	6169A-99	City of McMinnville	\$2,200,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169B-99	City of McMinnville	\$1,200,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169C-99	City of McMinnville	\$300,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169D-99	City of McMinnville	\$500,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
1	6169E-99	City of McMinnville	\$300,000			50	30	10	8.28	98.28
6	24292-01	City of Coquille	\$7,500,000	SC		50	30	10	7.25	97.25
7	20591-02	City of Canyonville	\$8,500,000	SC		50	30	10	6.51	96.51
8	47840-02	Island City Area Sanitation Dist	\$1,070,000	SC		50	30	7	5.99	92.99
9	78861-99	Roseburg Urban Sanitary Dist.	\$170,000			50	30	0	9.09	89.09
10	24293-01	City of Coquille	\$100,000	SC	FP	50	30	0	7.25	87.25
11	6998-97	City of Nyssa	\$1,060,000	SC		40	30	10	6.95	86.95
12	6798-91	City of Myrtle Point	\$5,143,895	SC		50	30	0	6.87	86.87
13	35611-00	City of Garibaldi	\$3,750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.99	85.99
14	64631-00	City of Merrill	\$3,130,000	SC		40	30	10	5.84	85.84
15	63960-01	City of Maywood Park	\$30,000	SC	FP	50	30	0	5.80	85.80
16	25130-00	Crescent Sanitary District	\$2,500,000	SC		40	30	10	5.75	85.75
17	74350-01	City of Powers	\$580,000	SC		40	30	10	5.71	85.71
18	40351-01	City of Haines	\$75,000	SC	FP	40	30	10	5.34	85.34
18	40352-01	City of Haines	\$750,000	SC		40	30	10	5.34	85.34
20	94343-01	City of Waldport	\$250,000	SC		40	30	10	4.80	84.80
21	9080B-97	City of The Dalles	\$2,300,000			40	30	0	8.32	78.32
22	1270A-02	City of Baker City	\$80,000		FP	40	30	0	8.05	78.05
23	74681-02	City of Prineville	\$7,569,183			40	30	0	8.03	78.03

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
24	1179-97	City of Astoria	\$16,000,000			40	30	0	8.00	78.00
25	80160-99	City of St. Helens	\$2,000,000			40	30	0	8.00	78.00
26	65580-99	City of Milwaukie	\$7,600,000			40	30	0	7.57	77.57
27	76210-02	City of Reedsport	\$3,000,000	SC		40	30	0	7.40	77.40
28	8093B-99	City of Scappoose	\$340,000	SC		40	30	0	7.34	77.34
29	67981-02	City of Myrtle Point	\$1,500,000	SC		40	20	10	7.31	77.31
30	24200-01	Coos County	\$1,000,000	SC		40	30	0	7.02	77.02
31	9482-97	Warm Springs Tribes	\$1,500,000	SC		40	30	0	7.01	77.01
32	4774B-99	City of Irrigon	\$2,403,000	SC		30	30	10	6.72	76.72
33	9434A-99	City of Waldport	\$130,000	SC		40	30	0	6.53	76.53
33	9434B-99	City of Waldport	\$200,000	SC		40	30	0	6.53	76.53
35	38351-01	Government Camp S.D.	\$25,000	SC	FP	30	30	10	6.42	76.42
36	4463B-99	City of Hines	\$2,513,050	SC		40	30	0	6.41	76.41
37	29200-02	City of Drain	\$90,000	SC	FP	40	30	0	6.16	76.16
38	3745A-00	City of Glendale	\$60,000	SC	FP	40	30	0	5.77	75.77
38	3745B-00	City of Glendale	\$1,100,000	SC		40	30	0	5.77	75.77
40	2952-97	City of Dufur	\$300,000	SC		40	30	0	5.58	75.58
41	91563-01	City of Tillamook	\$300,000	SC		40	30	0	4.95	74.95
42	43100-02	Hebo Joint Water/Sewer S.A.	\$718,000	SC		40	30	0	4.80	74.80
43	89750-00	City of Sweet Home	\$2,000,000			30	30	7	7.78	74.78
44	8716B-97	City of Stanfield	\$2,000,000	SC		40	20	7	6.46	73.46
45	6412A-97	City of Medford	\$400,000		FP	40	20	0	10.00	70.00
45	6412B-97	City of Medford	\$21,600,000			40	20	0	10.00	70.00
47	9156C-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$25,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
47	9156D-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$400,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
47	9156E-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$421,587			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
47	9156F-99	Tillamook County SWCD	\$1,500,000			40	20	0	8.72	68.72
51	7061B-97	City of Ontario	\$100,000		FP	40	10	10	8.25	68.25
51	7061D-97	City of Ontario	\$200,000			40	10	10	8.25	68.25
53	9237-97	City of Troutdale	\$16,000,000			40	20	0	8.21	68.21
54	1270B-02	City of Baker City	\$2,322,000			40	20	0	8.05	68.05
55	9458-97	City of Wallowa	\$300,000	SC		20	30	10	5.85	65.85
56	23040-99	City of Coburg	\$8,000,000	SC		20	30	10	5.79	65.79
57	6523B-00	Miles Crossing/Jeffer's Gardens	\$3,068,191	SC		30	20	10	5.63	65.63
58	6963B-97	City of North Powder	\$1,000,000	SC		20	30	10	5.45	65.45
59	94961-00	City of Wasco	\$1,500,000	SC		20	30	10	5.25	65.25
60	77740-00	City of Rivergrove	\$1,000,000	SC		40	20	0	5.09	65.09
61	99340-99	City of Yoncalla	\$358,250	SC		30	20	7	6.02	63.02
62	10860-99	Alsea County Service District	\$150,000	SC		40	10	7	4.51	61.51
63	96210-99	City of Westfir	\$200,000	SC		20	30	7	4.45	61.45
64	6299A-99	Marion County Public Works	\$50,000		FP	40	10	0	10.00	60.00
64	6299B-99	Marion County Public Works	\$2,100,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
64	14002-02	Bear Creek Valley S.A.	\$7,500,000			40	10	0	10.00	60.00
67	5620A-00	City of Lebanon	\$1,384,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
67	5620B-00	City of Lebanon	\$311,000			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
67	5620C-00	City of Lebanon	\$216,320			30	20	0	8.16	58.16
70	86772-01	City of Springfield	\$3,750,000			30	20	0	8.00	58.00
71	2514A-99	City of Creswell	\$100,000	SC	FP	20	30	0	7.54	57.54
71	2514B-99	City of Creswell	\$5,900,000	SC		20	30	0	7.54	57.54

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Affordability	Population	Total
73	8093A-99	City of Scappoose	\$2,630,000	SC		40	10	0	7.34	57.34
74	73270-99	City of Philomath	\$380,000	SC		40	10	0	7.13	57.13
75	98520-99	City of Wood Village	\$1,500,000	SC		40	10	0	6.95	56.95
76	41901-99	City of Harrisburg	\$4,400,000	SC		20	20	10	6.91	56.91
77	1620-97	City of Boardman	\$2,600,000	SC		30	10	10	6.86	56.86
78	69640-01	City of North Plains	\$20,000	SC		40	10	0	6.49	56.49
79	93222-02	City of Vale	\$50,000	SC	FP	20	30	0	6.44	56.44
80	10200-00	City of Adair Village	\$280,000	SC		30	20	0	5.51	55.51
81	68653-02	Neskowin Regional S.A.	\$50,000	SC	FP	30	10	10	5.20	55.20
82	2203B-97	Chemult Sanitary District	\$2,300,000	SC		30	10	10	4.60	54.60
83	24685-01	Cove Orchard Sew Ser Dist	\$35,000	SC		20	20	10	4.26	54.26
84	9470B-97	Wamic Water and Sewer Auth.	\$250,000	SC		20	20	10	4.00	54.00
85	20330-01	Camellia Park San. Dist.	\$40,000	SC		20	20	10	3.91	53.91
86	64840-99	Metro W/W Mgmt Comm.	\$3,000,000			40	0	0	10.00	50.00
87	5751A-01	City of Lincoln City	\$170,500		FP	20	20	0	7.68	47.68
87	5751B-01	City of Lincoln City	\$19,129,000			20	20	0	7.68	47.68
89	6558-97	City of Milton-Freewater	\$2,000,000			10	30	0	7.56	47.56
90	80491-02	City of Sandy	\$650,000	SC		30	10	0	7.40	47.40
91	7003A-97	City of Oakridge	\$70,000	SC	FP	20	20	0	7.02	47.02
91	7003B-97	City of Oakridge	\$120,000	SC		20	20	0	7.02	47.02
93	59220-99	City of Lowell	\$85,000	SC	FP	20	20	0	6.01	46.01
94	95511-01	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$75,000	SC		20	20	0	5.88	45.88
95	6484-96	City of Metolius	\$50,000	SC	FP	20	10	10	5.60	45.60
95	6484-97	City of Metolius	\$1,450,000	SC		20	10	10	5.60	45.60
97	68652-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$294,750	SC		30	10	0	4.80	44.80
98	68651-01	Neskowin Reg. S.A.	\$88,200	SC		30	10	0	3.20	43.20
99	89550-99	City of Sutherlin	\$400,000			20	10	0	7.64	37.64
100	2191A-97	Charleston Sanitary District	\$4,000,000	SC		10	10	10	7.01	37.01
101	24192-01	City of Coos Bay	\$170,000			20	10	0	6.89	36.89
102	24190-01	City of Coos Bay	\$36,000			20	10	0	6.43	36.43
103	24191-01	City of Coos Bay	\$52,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
103	24193-01	City of Coos Bay	\$62,000			20	10	0	6.35	36.35
105	9551B-99	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$50,000	SC		10	20	0	5.88	35.88
106	9623A-97	City of Weston	\$20,000	SC	FP	20	10	0	5.59	35.59
106	9623B-97	City of Weston	\$500,000	SC		20	10	0	5.59	35.59
108	7395A-99	Polk County Community Dev.	\$25,000	SC	FP	10	10	10	2.60	32.60
108	7395B-99	Polk County Community Dev.	\$225,000	SC		10	10	10	2.60	32.60
110	7061C-97	City of Ontario	\$175,000		FP	10	10	0	8.03	28.03
111	2191A-99	Charleston Sanitary District	\$45,000	SC	FP	10	0	10	7.01	27.01
111	2191B-99	Charleston Sanitary District	\$130,000	SC		10	0	10	7.01	27.01
113	5260A-99	Klamath Tribes	\$50,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	6.00	26.00
113	5260B-99	Klamath Tribes	\$1,260,000	SC		10	10	0	6.00	26.00
115	35540-00	Gardiner Sanitary District	\$21,975	SC	FP	20	0	0	5.90	25.90
116	95510-00	Wedderburn Sanitary District	\$810,000	SC		20	0	0	5.88	25.88
117	24680-99	City of Cove	\$200,000	SC		10	10	0	5.57	25.57
118	66790-00	City of Moro	\$20,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	5.06	25.06
119	47570-99	City of Imbler	\$50,000	SC	FP	10	10	0	4.98	24.98
120	82920-00	City of Seneca	\$112,500	SC		10	10	0	4.72	24.72
121	19150-00	Bunker Hill Sanitary District	\$385,000	SC		20	0	0	3.40	23.40

Priority Ranking	Proj. No.	Applicant	Loan Request	SC	FP	POINT SCORES				
						Waterbody Sensitivity	Problem Severity	Afford-ability	Popu-lation	Total
Notes: SC: Eligible for Small Communities Funds FP: Eligible for Facility Planning Funds										

PRIORITY RANKING CRITERIA

The Priority List ranks projects based on the following criteria established by Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025(3):

POINT CRITERIA	POINT ASSIGNMENT	WATER QUALITY DESCRIPTION
(1) Receiving Water Body Sensitivity: (One choice only)	50	Facility discharges to waters of the state where the EQC has established Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) and associated waste load allocations (WLA).
		Facility discharges to an EPA designated sole source aquifer.
	40	Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "not supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Tables 1 or 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated wellhead protection area.
	30	Facility discharges to waters of the state which are any of the following: (1) Designated as a Wild and Scenic River by the federal government; (2) Designated as a State Scenic Waterway by ORS 390.826; (3) Designated as Outstanding Resource Waters by the EQC; (4) Referenced in OAR 340-41-470 with special restrictions; (5) Determined to be a sensitive estuarine habitat by the DEQ; (6) Listed under Fishes in the latest Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (50 CFR 17.11 & 17.12) as threatened or endangered in Oregon.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level is below the levels in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to ground water located in a designated ground water management area.
		Facility discharges to waters of the state that are listed in the current 305(b) Report under the Beneficial Uses column as "partially supporting".
		Facility discharges to ground water where the contaminant in the discharge is listed in Tables 1 and 2 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40 and is known to cause ground water contamination but there is no ground water quality data available to substantiate the problem.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level and levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
		Facility discharges to waters of the state not referenced elsewhere in this criteria.
	10	Facility discharges to the ocean, the Columbia River or the Snake River.
		Facility discharges to ground water that has a documented increase in the concentration of a contaminant above the ground water background level but the contamination level

		is below the levels in Table 3 of OAR Chapter 340, Division 40.
(2) Enforcement Activities & Water Quality Violations (One choice only)	30	Environmental Quality Commission (EQC) Orders.
		Mutual Agreements and Orders.
		Department Orders or permit conditions mandating action.
		EQC rules requiring elimination of a specific water quality problem related to inadequate water pollution control facilities.
		Documented health hazards with associated documented water quality problem.
	20	Noncompliance with the Department's statutes, rules or permit requirements resulting from inadequate water pollution control facilities.
	10	Documented health hazards without documented water quality problem.
		An enforcement order of the Oregon Health Division relating to safe drinking water.
		Existing potential water quality problem as noted by the Department but undocumented.
(3) Affordability (10 points max.)	7	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including operation, maintenance, and debt service for prior and new wastewater projects) exceeds 1.5% of the median household income of the community.
	10	The cost per household of the wastewater treatment system (including prior capital improvements outstanding and the proposed project) exceeds 1.75% of the median household income of the community.
(4) Population (10 points max.)	LOG (Current Population) ²	Points calculated based upon the population directly affected by the project.

FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR LOANS

The table below identifies the funds available for new loans and amendments in FY 2002. The "Sources of Funds" section identifies the total amount of the fund and its sources of capitalization and revenue. The "Uses of Funds" shows the amount allocated to existing loans and administration expense. Totaling the two sections yields the net amount available for new loans and amendments.

The first column, "Through FY 2001", shows the cumulative funds of the program as of 6/30/01. The second column shows the new money that will be coming in to fund new loans and amendments. This column includes the estimated federal fiscal year 2002 capitalization grant (and its state match) even though the grant had not been awarded by July 1, 2001. The "Administration Expense Allocation" is the 4% of the total capitalization grants that is set-aside for administrative costs.

The "For FY 2002" column includes the federal fiscal year 2002 capitalization grant, associated state match, and a conservative projection of investment earnings. This column also includes repayments scheduled to be received in fiscal year 2002 from loans that are already completed and in repayment. It also includes a conservative estimate of repayments that will be received during FY 2002 for projects which are not yet completed.

The negative balance of \$617,983 at the bottom of the "Through FY 2001" column represents the loans that have been signed in excess of the cash on hand at that point in time. Funding for these loans will be available as the cash is needed based on loan-by-loan projections in the cash flow model, but no later than the end of fiscal year 2002, as shown by the positive cash balance of

\$40,439,683 in the second column. After netting these two figures, the remaining funds are available for new loans in the amount of \$39,221,700 as shown at the bottom of the second and third columns.

PROJECTED FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN DURING FY 2002

	Through FY 2001	For FY 2002	Total
Sources of Funds:			
Capitalization Grants	206,323,547	15,000,000	221,323,547
State Match	41,264,709	3,000,000	44,264,709
Investment Earnings	16,181,414	1,123,925	17,305,339
Loan Repayments (Principal and Interest)	86,388,355	21,315,758	107,704,113
Total Sources of Cash	350,158,025	40,439,683	390,597,708
Uses of Funds:			
Loans and Amendments	342,523,066		342,523,066
Administration Expense Allocations	8,252,942	600,000	8,852,942
Total Uses of Cash	350,776,008	600,000	351,376,008
Sources of Cash less Uses of Cash	(617,983)	39,839,683	
Net Available to Loan during FY 2002		39,221,700	39,221,700

Small Community Funds =	\$ 5,883,255
Facility Planning Funds =	\$ 3,922,170
Maximum per Borrower =	\$ 5,883,255

CAPITALIZATION FUNDS

This Intended Use Plan will be used to allocate any additional federal or state funds which become available during FY 2002. The capitalization grant application will include a proposed federal payment schedule based on loan project schedules and when the cash will be needed.

State matching funds continue to be available through State of Oregon General Obligation Bonds which are repaid with funds appropriated each biennium from the state lottery or general fund. For the 2001-2003 biennium, the Department's budget includes allocations for debt service as well as the authority to sell additional bonds to match federal capitalization grants.

INVESTMENT EARNINGS

Investment earnings are projected conservatively for fiscal year 2002. Because federal funds are now fully drawn, investment earnings are gradually dropping, since they are based on the loan repayments, which are now being used for loan disbursements. The long term goal is to keep the

cash reserves low – balancing the need for a cash reserve to cover the timing variances of the projects against the goal of keeping the funds in use by communities.

LOAN REPAYMENTS

Projected repayments in the amount of \$20,143,946 are included in the cash available for new loans. This figure includes the following three categories of repayments (from most time certain to least time certain):

- (a) repayments on loans that are fully disbursed and already in repayment .
- (b) repayments of interim loans with long-term financing through USDA Rural Development (no such repayments are anticipated for fiscal year 2002, however), and
- (c) repayments on signed loans that are not fully disbursed at this time but are expected to be in repayment before the end of fiscal year 2002.

The estimates for (b) and (c) are least time certain since changes to the repayment schedules as projects are completed tend to move repayments out in time. (Projects seldom finish ahead of schedule and frequently finish later than scheduled.) However, unscheduled prepayments tend to increase the actual repayments received. New loans for short-term projects also increase the repayments actually received. The net effect of these factors in recent years has been to increase actual repayments received over the amount projected.

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

During this fiscal year, the administrative costs will continue to be paid through the “4% of capitalization grant” limitation within the fund. Loan fees continue to be assessed to support the program when the federal limitation on administrative expense is reached. Administrative activity continues to be monitored to be sure that the program is scaled at a level that can be supported by the on-going fee base when it becomes necessary.

LOAN ALLOCATIONS

Each year the maximum loan per borrower is established along with the facilities planning reserve and the small community reserve. These amounts are based on the total available funds as listed in the Intended Use Plan. For fiscal year 2002, the maximum loan amount per borrower (including amendments) is \$5,883,255. The minimum amount to be allocated to small communities (population of 5,000 or less) is \$5,883,255. The minimum amount to be allocated to facilities planning projects is \$3,922,170. If the facilities planning reserve is not used, any remaining funds will be allocated to design and construction projects in priority order during the final quarter of the year.

Even though we presently have no final applications for facilities planning loans, it is anticipated that at least some of this reserve will be utilized during fiscal year 2002. Final applications will be accepted throughout the fiscal year and projects will be funded until such time as the reserve is fully allocated.

Projects are not allocated loan funds until a Final Application is received and approved. Each year, all unfunded (or partially funded) final applications are considered and any available funds are allocated to projects in priority order – first to small communities and facilities planning projects, and then to all remaining projects. Small community or facilities planning projects that are not fully funded through the small community or facilities planning reserves may be funded with the remaining funds, but only by competing with all other projects in priority order.

It is not expected that any projects will be funded for more than the maximum per borrower, however this could occur if Final Applications received during the fiscal year 2002 do not allocate all available funding. Should this occur, remaining available funding will be offered to existing borrowers, on a competitive basis.

By rule, the Oregon CWSRF gives funding priority to loan increases for existing projects, in order to assist communities until projects are complete. In 2002 these loan increases will commit a significant amount of the funds that are available for new or existing design and construction projects, other than those for Small Communities.

Following is a table documenting projects to be funded with fiscal year 2002 CWSRF funds, based on the above calculation of funds available and the requests for loan increases and final applications that have already been received by the program. The top section of the table shows the projects that will receive increases to their existing loans, and the lower section shows new loans that will be executed, based on applications already received. It should be noted that this table indicates there is a remaining balance in the Facilities Planning reserve. We will leave this unallocated balance in the reserve, to give additional communities the opportunity to submit final applications during the current fiscal year and obtain financing for their projects.

FY 2002 ALLOCATIONS	Facility Planning	Small Comm.	Other	FP, SC and Other Total	Remaining Available SRF Funds	Total Project Cost	Total CWSRF Funding	Amount Requested
Current FY Available Funds:	3,922,170	5,883,255	29,416,275	39,221,700			(Including Current Increase)	(Increase or New Loan)
<u>Uses of Available Funds:</u>								

Loan Increases

Ashland		542,932	542,932	38,678,768	22,310,000	22,310,000	542,932 I
La Grande		5,883,255	5,883,255	32,795,513	13,563,000	12,650,323	6,795,932 I
Myrtle Creek		5,883,255	5,883,255	26,912,258	11,600,000	9,680,652	5,952,603 I
Grants Pass		4,265,246	4,265,246	22,647,012	9,300,000	7,000,000	4,265,246 I
Hines	1,392,992	157,659	1,550,651	21,096,361	2,500,000	2,500,000	1,550,651 I
<hr/>							
Subtotals	0	1,392,992	16,732,347	18,125,339			
Balance=	3,922,170	4,490,263	12,683,928	21,096,361			

New Loans**Applicant: (and ranking)**

Waldport (21)	250,000		250,000	20,846,361	250,000	250,000	250,000 N
Astoria (26)		2,810,000	2,810,000	18,036,361	2,810,000	2,810,000	2,810,000 N
Tillamook (43)	440,000		440,000	17,596,361	440,000	440,000	440,000 N
Sweet Home (45)		2,000,000	2,000,000	15,596,361	2,265,500	2,000,000	2,000,000 N
Creswell (74)	1,392,992	4,107,008	5,500,000	10,096,361	8,100,000	5,500,000	5,500,000 N
Wood Village (78)	1,392,992	107,008	1,500,000	8,596,361	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000 N
<hr/>							
Subtotal	0	3,475,984	9,024,016	12,500,000			
Balance=	3,922,170	1,014,279	3,659,912	8,596,361			

The remaining available funds will be allocated as Final Applications are received throughout the fiscal year. It should be noted that at this time the exact amount of the increase for the City of Ashland is unknown, and they may request more than the \$542,932 indicated above.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Environmental Review requirements have been modified in recent years except as required by DEQ and other agencies outside of the CWSRF program. The EPA Title II equivalency requirements have been met, so the National Environmental Protection Act no longer applies to new projects. A proposed State Environmental Review Process was submitted to EPA and approved by them in November 1999. This State Environmental Review Process is included as part of the Oregon CWSRF Program Procedures Manual, which is available upon request.

GOVERNOR'S DISCRETIONARY FUND

This limitation on the funding of collector sewers, sewer separation and combined sewer overflow projects no longer applies to the program as equivalency requirements have been met.

OPERATING AGREEMENT

The Operating Agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for the State Revolving Fund Program includes the procedures, assurances, certifications, Title II requirements, applicable federal authorities and laws, and other on-going documentation for the program. The Operating Agreement is discussed here to incorporate the required assurances, certifications and other documentation by reference. Copies of the Operating Agreement are available upon request.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES

Invitations to submit preliminary applications were sent to all Oregon jurisdictions, sanitary districts, and other interested parties. All public agencies that submitted preliminary CWSRF applications were then sent copies of the draft Intended Use Plan. The following public notice inviting comments was printed in The Oregonian and the Business Journal.

PUBLIC NOTICE**Clean Water State Revolving Fund
Proposed Intended Use Plan
for Fiscal Year 2002**

Notice Issued: August 3, 2001
Comments Due: September 4, 2001

WHAT IS PROPOSED

The Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has prepared its proposed *FY 2002 Intended Use Plan* for the CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND in accordance with procedures set out in Division 54 of Chapter 340 of the Oregon Administrative Rules.

This plan is part of an application to receive federal grant funds made available for the creation of state revolving funds through the Water Quality Act of 1987. These funds are to be used to provide low cost loans to public agencies for water pollution abatement projects, including eligible wastewater treatment, nonpoint source, and estuary management projects.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED INTENDED USE PLAN

If approved in its current form, the Intended Use Plan will fund up to \$39,221,700 in loans for planning, design and construction of projects needed to correct priority water quality problems in Oregon. The Intended Use Plan includes a List of Eligible Projects in Priority Order that have applied for funding. Funding will be allocated in priority order as applicants complete the final application process. Funding limitations under Oregon Administrative Rule 340-54-025 may apply. Available funds may increase if more federal grant funds become available for federal fiscal year 2002 than projected, or they may decrease if less federal grant funds are appropriated.

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE PROPOSED INTENDED USE PLAN

A copy of the proposed Intended Use Plan is available from the Department of Environmental Quality by contacting Larry McAllister at (503) 229-6412 or Rick Watters at (503) 229-6814. The I.U.P. document can also be found at DEQ's website: www.deq.state.or.us, under "Programs", then "Water Quality", then "Loans/Grants", then "Oregon State Revolving Fund Intended Use Document". Comments on the draft I.U.P. must be submitted in writing to the Department by Monday, September 4, 2001.

MAILING LISTS

In addition to all agencies submitting preliminary applications, a number of interested organizations and individuals were sent copies of the draft Intended Use Plan for review and comment. Additional copies were sent out as requested.

Committee Administrator
Senate Agriculture and Natural Resources
Committee
354 State Capitol
Salem, Oregon 97310

O.S.P.I.R.G.
1536 S.E. 11th Avenue
Portland, OR 97214

Association of Oregon Counties
P.O. Box 12729
Salem, OR 97309

Oregon Economic Development Department
775 Summer St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97310

Oregon Environmental Council
520 S.W. Sixth Ave., Suite 940
Portland, OR 97204

Oregon League of Women Voters
2659 Commercial St. S.E., Suite 220
Salem, OR 97302

Oregon Rural Development Council
P.O. Box 40204
Portland, Oregon 97240

E.S. Director
Rural Assistance Corporation
2125 19th St. Suite 203
Sacramento, CA 95818

League of Oregon Cities
P.O. Box 928
Salem, OR 97038

N.W. Environmental Defense Center
10015 S.W. Terwilliger Blvd.
Portland, OR 97219

Oregon Environmental Advocates
133 S.W. Second
Portland, Oregon 97204

Oregon Insider Newsletter
P.O. Box 11586
Eugene, OR 97440

Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
255 Capitol St. N.E., Third Floor
Salem, OR 97310-0203

Association of Clean Water Agencies
537 S.W. Ash St., Suite 12
Portland, OR 97214-1159

Regional Director
USDA Rural Development Administration
1220 S.W. 3rd Ave.
Portland, OR 97204

Michelle Tucker
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 6th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101